

CS 3650 Computer Systems – Spring 2026

File Systems


Week 12 and 13

Storage media


Storage media types

- Hard Drives
- SSD

Hard Drive Hardware




A Multi-Platter Disk




Addressing and Geometry

- Externally, hard drives expose a large number of **sectors** (blocks)
 - Typically 512 or 4096 bytes
 - Individual sector writes are **atomic**
 - Multiple sectors writes may be interrupted (**torn write**)
- Drive geometry
 - Sectors arranged into **tracks**
 - Tracks arranged in concentric circles on **platters**
 - A disk may have multiple, double-sided platters
 - A **cylinder** is tracks on multiple platters
- Drive motor spins the platters at a constant rate
 - Measured in rotations per minute (RPM)




Geometry Example



Common Disk Interfaces

- ST-506 ? ATA ? IDE ? SATA
 - Ancient standard
 - Commands (read/write) and addresses in cylinder/head/sector format placed in device registers
 - Recent versions support [Logical Block Addresses \(LBA\)](#)
- SCSI (Small Computer Systems Interface)
 - Packet based, like TCP/IP
 - Device translates LBA to internal format
 - Transport independent
 - USB drives, CD/DVD/Bluray, Firewire
 - iSCSI is SCSI over TCP/IP and Ethernet

Types of Delay With Disks



Three types of delay

1. Rotational Delay
 - Time to rotate the desired sector to the read head
 - Related to RPM
2. Seek delay
 - Time to move the read head to a different track
3. Transfer time
 - Time to read or write bytes

Track skew: offset sectors so that sequential reads across tracks incorporate seek delay

How To Calculate Transfer Time



	Cheetah 15K.5	Barracuda
Capacity	300 GB	1 TB
RPM	15000	7200
Avg. Seek	4 ms	9 ms
Max Transfer	125 MB/s	105 MB/s

Transfer time

$$T_{I/O} = T_{seek} + T_{rotation} + T_{transfer}$$

Assume we are transferring
4096 bytes

Cheetah

$$T_{I/O} = 4 \text{ ms} + 1 / (15000 \text{ RPM} / 60 \text{ s/M} / 1000 \text{ ms/s}) / 2 + (4096 \text{ B} / 125 \text{ MB/s} * 1000 \text{ ms/s} / 2^{20} \text{ MB/B})$$

$$T_{I/O} = 4 \text{ ms} + 2 \text{ ms} + 0.03125 \text{ ms} \approx 6 \text{ ms}$$

Barracuda

$$T_{I/O} = 9 \text{ ms} + 1 / (7200 \text{ RPM} / 60 \text{ s/M} / 1000 \text{ ms/s}) / 2 + (4096 \text{ B} / 105 \text{ MB/s} * 1000 \text{ ms/s} / 2^{20} \text{ MB/B})$$

$$T_{I/O} = 9 \text{ ms} + 4.17 \text{ ms} + 0.0372 \text{ ms} \approx 13.2 \text{ ms}$$

Sequential vs. Random Access

Rate of I/O

$$R_{I/O} = \text{transfer_size} / T_{I/O}$$

Access Type	Transfer Size		Cheetah 15K.5	Barracuda
Random	4096 B	$T_{I/O}$	6 ms	13.2 ms
		$R_{I/O}$	0.66 MB/s	0.31 MB/s
Sequential	100 MB	$T_{I/O}$	800 ms	950 ms
		$R_{I/O}$	125 MB/s	105 MB/s
Max Transfer Rate			125 MB/s	105MB/s

1 disk seek + 1 rotation +
continuous data transfer

Random I/O results in very
poor disk performance!

Storage media types


- ~~Hard Drives~~
- SSD

Beyond Spinning Disks

- Hard drives have been around since 1956
 - The cheapest way to store large amounts of data
 - Sizes are still increasing rapidly
- However, hard drives are typically the slowest component in most computers
 - CPU and RAM operate at GHz
 - PCI-X and Ethernet are GB/s
- Hard drives are not suitable for mobile devices
 - Fragile mechanical components can break
 - The disk motor is extremely power hungry

Solid State Drives

- NAND flash memory-based drives
 - High voltage is able to change the configuration of a floating-gate transistor
 - State of the transistor interpreted as binary data



Flash memory chip

Data is striped across all chips (design varies by vendors)


Advantages of SSDs

- More resilient against physical damage
 - No sensitive read head or moving parts
 - Immune to changes in temperature
- Greatly reduced power consumption
 - No mechanical, moving parts
- Much faster than hard drives
 - >500 MB/s vs ~200 MB/s for hard drives
 - Little or no penalty for random access
 - Each flash cell can be addressed directly
 - No need to rotate or seek
 - Extremely high throughput
 - Although each flash chip is slow, they are RAIDed

HDD vs SSD price trends (by western digital)

HDD vs. Flash SSD \$/TB Annual Takedown Trend


MAMR will enable continued \$/TB advantage over Flash SSDs



Challenges with Flash

- Flash memory is written in pages, but erased in blocks
 - Pages: 4 – 16 KB, Blocks: 128 – 256 KB
 - Thus, flash memory can become fragmented
 - Leads to the [write amplification](#) problem
- Flash memory can only be written a fixed number of times
 - Typically 3000 – 5000 cycles for MLC
 - SSDs use [wear leveling](#) to evenly distribute writes across all flash cells

Write Amplification



- Once all pages have been written, valid pages must be consolidated to free up space
- **Write amplification:** a write triggers garbage collection/compaction
 - One or more blocks must be read, erased, and rewritten before the write can proceed

Garbage Collection

- Garbage collection (GC) is vital for the performance of SSDs
- Older SSDs had fast writes up until all pages were written once
 - Even if the drive has lots of “free space,” each write is amplified, thus reducing performance
- Many SSDs over-provision to help the GC
 - 240 GB SSDs actually have 256 GB of memory
- Modern SSDs implement background GC
 - However, this doesn't always work correctly


Announcements

- Remaining slip days to be calculated this weekend and will be found on Canvas
 - Counted after assignment #7 submission
- Project 2
 - Roughly 3-week duration
 - Ungraded lab is included
 - Pre-submission Apr 10 (HARD Deadline, no late submissions allowed)
 - Submission due Apr 20
 - Last submission date Apr 21 (max 1 slip days can be used)

The Ambiguity of Delete

- Goal: the SSD wants to perform background GC
 - But this assumes the SSD knows which pages are invalid
- Problem: most file systems don't actually delete data
 - On Linux, the “delete” function is `unlink()`
 - Removes the file meta-data, but not the file itself


Delete Example



1. File is written to SSD
 2. File is deleted
 3. The GC executes
 - 9 pages look valid to the SSD
 - The OS knows only 2 pages are valid
- Lack of explicit delete means the GC wastes effort copying useless pages
 - Hard drives are not GCed, so this was never a problem

TRIM

- New SATA command TRIM (SCSI – UNMAP)
 - Allows the OS to tell the SSD that specific LBAs are invalid, may be GCed



- OS support for TRIM
 - Win 7, OSX Snow Leopard, Linux 2.6.33, Android 4.3
- Must be supported by the SSD firmware

Wear Leveling

- Recall: each flash cell wears out after several thousand writes
- SSDs use **wear leveling** to spread writes across all cells
 - Typical consumer SSDs should last ~5 years
- Wear-leveling strategies
 - GC blocks with fewer valid data (= reduces write amplification)
 - GC blocks with fewer erase count (= even wearing of blocks)
 - Periodically Move long-lived data around

Wear Leveling Examples

If the GC runs now, page G must be copied

Wait as long as possible before garbage collecting

Block X			
K	D	G	C'
L	E	A'	D'
C	F	B'	E'

Block Y			
F'	C''	F''	G'
A''	D''	H	A'''
B''	E''	I	B'''

Blocks with long lived data receive less wear


Block X			
M*	D	G	J
N*	E	H	K
O*	F	I	L

Block Y			
A	D	G	J
B	E	H	K
C	F	I	L

SSD controller periodically swap long lived data to different blocks

SSD Controllers

- SSDs are extremely complicated internally
- All operations handled by the SSD controller
 - Maps LBAs to physical pages
 - Keeps track of free pages, controls the GC
 - May implement background GC
 - Performs wear leveling via data rotation
- Controller performance is crucial for overall SSD performance
- Modern SSDs are embedded systems
 - Has multiple embedded processors and embedded OS runs on top



Flavors of NAND Flash Memory

Multi-Level Cell (MLC)

- Multiple bits per flash cell
 - For two-level: 00, 01, 10, 11
 - 2, 3, and 4-bit MLC is available
- Higher capacity and cheaper than SLC flash
- Lower throughput due to the need for error correction
- 3,000 – 5,000 write cycles
- Consumes more power

Consumer-grade drives

Single-Level Cell (SLC)

- One bit per flash cell
 - 0 or 1
- Lower capacity and more expensive than MLC flash
- Higher throughput than MLC
- 10,000 – 100,000 write cycles

Expensive, enterprise drives

File Systems

Learning objectives

- We talked about hard drives and SSDs
 - How they work
 - Performance characteristics
- We will look into managing storage
 - Disks/SSDs offer a blank slate of empty blocks
 - How do we store files on these devices, and keep track of them?
 - How do we maintain high performance?
 - How do we maintain consistency in the face of random crashes?

Learning objectives

- Partitions and Mounting
- Basics (FAT)
- inodes and Blocks (ext)
- Block Groups (ext2)
- Journaling (ext3)
- Extents and B-Trees (ext4)
- Log-based File Systems

Building the Root File System


- One of the first tasks of an OS during bootup is to build the root file system
 1. Locate all bootable media
 - Internal and external hard disks
 - SSDs
 - Floppy disks, CDs, DVDs, USB sticks
 2. Locate all the partitions on each media
 - Read MBR(s), extended partition tables, etc.
 3. **Mount** one or more partitions
 - Makes the file system(s) available for access

The Master Boot Record

Address		Description	Size (Bytes)
Hex	Dec.		
0x000	0	Bootstrap code area	446
0x1BE	446	Partition Entry #1	16
0x1CE	462	Partition Entry #2	16
0x1DE	478	Partition Entry #3	16
0x1EE	494	Partition Entry #4	16
0x1FE	510	Magic Number	2
Total:			512

Includes the starting LBA and length of the partition

Disk 1




~~Disk 2~~



Extended Partitions

- In some cases, you may want >4 partitions
- Modern OSes support extended partitions



- Extended partitions may use OS-specific partition table formats (meta-data)
 - Thus, other OSes may not be able to read the logical partitions

Types of Root File Systems

```
[khoury@cs3650 ~] df -h
```

Filesystem	Size	Used	Avail	Use%	Mounted on
/dev/sda7	39G	14G	23G	38%	/
/dev/sda2	296M	48M	249M	16%	/boot/efi
/dev/sda5	127G	86G	42G	68%	/media/khoury/Data1
/dev/sda4	61G	34G	27G	57%	/media/khoury/Data2
/dev/sdb1	1.9G	352K	1.9G	1%	/media/khoury/Data3

1 drive, 4 partitions

1 drive, 1 partition

- Windows exposes a multi-rooted system
 - Each device and partition is assigned a letter
 - Internally, a single root is maintained
- Linux has a single root
 - One partition is mounted as /
 - All other partitions are mounted somewhere under /
- Typically, the partition containing the kernel is mounted as / or C:

Mounting a File System

1. Read the **super block** for the target file system
 - Contains meta-data about the file system
 - Version, size, locations of key structures on disk, etc.
2. Determine the **mount point**
 - On Windows: pick a drive letter
 - On Linux: mount the new file system under a specific directory

/dev/sda5	127G	86G	42G	68%
	/media/khoury/Data1			
/dev/sda4	61G	34G	27G	57%
	/media/khoury/Data2			
/dev/sdb1	1.9G	352K	1.9G	1%

Virtual File System Interface


- Problem:
OS may mount several partitions containing different file systems

Do processes have to use different APIs for different file systems?
- Linux uses a Virtual File System interface (VFS)
 - Exposes POSIX APIs to processes
 - Forwards requests to lower-level file system specific drivers
- Windows uses a similar system

VFS Flowchart

Processes (usually) don't need to know about low-level file system details

Relatively simple to add additional file system drivers



Mount isn't Just for Bootup

- When you plug storage devices into your running system, mount is executed in the background
- Example: plugging in a USB stick
- What does it mean to “safely eject” a device?
 - Flush cached writes to that device
 - Cleanly unmount the file system on that device




Learning objectives

- ~~Partitions and Mounting~~
- Basics (FAT)
- inodes and Blocks (ext)
- Block Groups (ext2)
- Journaling (ext3)
- Extents and B-Trees (ext4)
- Log-based File Systems

Status Check

- At this point, the OS can locate and mount partitions
- Next step: what is the on-disk layout of the file system?
 - We expect certain features from a file system
 - Named files
 - Nested hierarchy of directories
 - Meta-data like creation time, file permissions, etc.
 - How do we design on-disk structures that support these features?

The Directory Tree



- Navigated using a path
 - E.g. `/home/bob/music.mp3`

Absolute and Relative Paths

- Two types of file system paths
 - **Absolute**
 - Full path from the root to the object
 - Example: /home/alice/cs3650/hw4.pdf
 - Example: C:\Users\alice\Documents\
 - **Relative**
 - OS keeps track of the **working directory** for each process
 - Path relative to the current working directory
 - Examples [working directory = /home/alice]:
 - syllabus.docx [? /home/alice/syllabus.docx]
 - cs3650/hw4.pdf [? /home/alice/cs3650/hw4.pdf]
 - ./cs3650/hw4.pdf [? /home/alice/cs3650/hw4.pdf]
 - ../bob/music.mp3 [? /home/bob/music.mp3]


Files

- A file is just a representation of data
 - Consists of bytes in blocks of storage drives
- A file is composed of two components
 - The file **data** itself
 - One or more blocks (sectors) of binary data
 - A file can contain anything
 - **Meta-data** about the file
 - Name, total size
 - What directory is it in?
 - Created time, modified time, access time
 - Hidden or system file?
 - Owner and owner's group
 - Permissions: read/write/execute



File Extensions


- File names are often written in dotted notation
 - E.g. program.exe, image.jpg, music.mp3
- A file's **extension does not mean anything**
 - Any file (regardless of its contents) can be given any name or extension



- Graphical shells (like Windows explorer) use extensions to try and match files to programs
 - This mapping may fail for a variety of reasons

More File Meta-Data


- Files have additional meta-data that is not typically shown to users
 - Unique identifier (file names may not be unique)
 - Structure that maps the file to blocks on the disk
- Managing the mapping from files to blocks is one of the key jobs of the file system



Mapping Files to Blocks


- Every file is composed of ≥ 1 blocks
- Key question: how do we map a file to its blocks?

List of blocks



- Problem?
 - Really large files


As (start, length) pairs



- Problem?
 - Fragmentation
 - E.g. try to add a new file with 3 blocks


Directories

- Traditionally, file systems have used a hierarchical, tree-structured namespace
 - Directories are objects that contain other objects
 - i.e. a directory may (or may not) have children
 - Files are leaves in the tree
- By default, directories contain at least two entries




More on Directories

- Directories have associated meta-data
 - Name, number of entries
 - Created time, modified time, access time
 - Permissions (read/write), owner, and group
- The file system must encode directories and store them on the disk
 - Typically, directories are stored as a special type of file
 - File contains a list of entries inside the directory, plus some meta-data for each entry



Example Directory File



Name	Index	Dir?	Perms
.	2	Y	rwX
Windows	3	Y	rwX
Users	4	Y	rwX
pagefile.sys	5	N	r



Directory File Implementation

- Each directory file stores many entries
- Key Question: how do you encode the entries?

Unordered List of Entries

Name	Index	Dir?	Perms
.	2	Y	rwX
Windows	3	Y	rwX
Users	4	Y	rwX
pagefile.sys	5	N	r

Sorted List of Entries

Name	Index	Dir?	Perms
.	2	Y	rwX
pagefile.sys	5	N	r
Users	4	Y	rwX
Windows	3	Y	rwX

- Good: $O(1)$ to add new entries
 - Just append to the file
- Bad: $O(n)$ to search for an entry
- Good: $O(\log n)$ to search an entry
- Bad: $O(n)$ to add new entries
 - Entire file has to be rewritten

- Other alternatives: hash tables, B-trees (will learn later)
- Implementing directory files is complicated

File Allocation Tables (FAT)

- Simple file system popularized by MS-DOS
 - First introduced in 1977
 - Most devices today use the FAT32 spec from 1996
 - FAT12, FAT16, FAT32, etc.
- Still quite popular today
 - Default format for USB sticks and memory cards
 - Used for EFI boot partitions
- Name comes from the [index table](#) used to track directories and files

- Stores basic info about the file system
- FAT version, location of boot files
- Total number of blocks
- Index of the root directory in the FAT


- File allocation table (FAT)
- Marks which blocks are free or in-use
- **Linked-list structure** to manage large files

- Store file and directory data
- Each block is a fixed size (4KB – 64KB)
- Files may span multiple blocks


Disk

Super
Block





- Directories are special files
 - File contains a list of entries inside the directory
- Possible values for FAT entries:
 - 0 – entry is empty
 - 1 – reserved by the OS
 - $1 < N < 0xFFFF$ – next block in a chain
 - 0xFFFF – end of a chain




Name	Index	Dir?	Perms
.	2	Y	rwX

Fat Table Entries

- $\text{len}(\text{FAT}) == \text{Number of clusters on the disk}$
 - Max number of files/directories is bounded
 - Decided when you format the partition
- The FAT version roughly corresponds to the size in bits of each FAT entry
 - E.g. FAT16 \Rightarrow each FAT entry is 16 bits
 - More bits \Rightarrow larger disks are supported

Fragmentation

- Blocks for a file need not be contiguous



Possible values for FAT entries:

- 0 – entry is empty
- $1 < N < 0xFFFF$ – next block in a chain
- 0xFFFF – end of a chain

FAT: The Good and the Bad

- The Good – FAT supports:
 - Hierarchical tree of directories and files
 - Variable length files
 - Basic file and directory meta-data
- The Bad
 - FAT32 supports 2TB disks (with 512B cluster size)
 - Locating free chunks requires scanning the entire FAT
 - Prone to internal and external fragmentation
 - Large blocks ☐ internal fragmentation
 - **Reads require a lot of random seeking**


Lots of Seeking

- Consider the following code:

```
int fd = open("my_file.txt", "r");
```

```
int r = read(fd, buffer, 1024 * 4 * 4); // 4 4KB blocks
```

FAT may have very low spatial locality, thus a lot of random seeking



Learning objectives

- ~~Partitions and Mounting~~
- ~~Basics (FAT)~~
- inodes and Blocks (ext)
- Block Groups (ext2)
- Journaling (ext3)
- Extents and B-Trees (ext4)
- Log-based File Systems

Status Check

- At this point, we have on-disk structures for:
 - Building a directory tree
 - Storing variable length files
- But, the efficiency of FAT is very low
 - Lots of seeking over file chains in FAT
 - Only way to identify free space is to scan over the entire FAT
- Linux file system uses more efficient structures
 - Extended File System (ext) uses **index nodes (inodes)** to track files and directories

Size Distribution of Files

- FAT uses a linked list for all files
 - Simple and uniform mechanism
 - ... but, it is not optimized for short or long files
- Question: are short or long files more common?
 - Studies over decades show that short files are much more common
 - 2KB is the most common file size
 - Average file size is 200KB (biased upward by a few very large files)
- Key idea: optimize the file system for many small files


- Super block, storing:
 - Size and location of bitmaps
 - Number and location of inodes
 - Number and location of data blocks
 - Index of root inodes


Bitmap of free & used **data** blocks

Bitmap of free & used **inodes**

- Table of inodes
- Each inode is a file/directory
- Includes meta-data and lists of associated data blocks


Data blocks (4KB each)





- Directories are files
- Contains the list of entries in the directory

- Each inode can directly point to 12 blocks
- Can also indirectly point to blocks at 1, 2, and 3 levels of depth




Root inode = 0

ext2 inodes

Size (bytes)	Name	What is this field for?
2	mode	Read/write/execute?
2	uid	User ID of the file owner
4	size	Size of the file in bytes
4	time	Last access time
4	ctime	Creation time
4	mtime	Last modification time
4	dtime	Deletion time
2	gid	Group ID of the file
2	links_count	How many hard links point to this file?
4	blocks	How many data blocks are allocated to this file?
4	flags	File or directory? Plus, other simple flags
60	block	15 direct and indirect pointers to data blocks

inode Block Pointers

- Each inode is the root of an unbalanced tree of data blocks



Advantages of inodes

- Optimized for file systems with many small files
 - Each inode can directly point to 48KB of data
 - Only one layer of indirection needed for 4MB files
- Faster file access
 - Greater meta-data locality ☐ less random seeking
 - No need to traverse long, chained FAT entries
- Easier free space management
 - Bitmaps can be cached in memory for fast access
 - inode and data space handled independently

File Reading Example: /tmp/file

Bitmaps inodes Data Blocks

	data	inode	root	tmp	file	root	tmp	file[0]	file[1]	file[3]	
Time ↓	open("/tmp/file")		read								
						read					
					read						
							read				
	read()					read					
						write			read		
	read()					read				read	
						write					
	read()					read					
						write					read

Update the last accessed time of the file

File Create and Write Example /tmp/file

	Bitmaps		inodes			Data Blocks		
	data	inode	root	tmp	file	root	tmp	file[0]
open("/tmp/file")			read					
						read		
				read				
							read	
		read						
		write						
						write		
							write	
write()								
	read				read			
	write							
								write
					write			

Update the modified time of the directory


Time

ext2 inodes, Again

Size (bytes)	Name	What is this field for?
2	mode	Read/write/execute?
2	uid	User ID of the file owner
4	size	Size of the file in bytes
4	time	Last access time
4	ctime	Creation time
4	mtime	Last modification time
4	dtime	Deletion time
2	gid	Group ID of the file
2	links_count	How many hard links point to this file?
4	blocks	How many data blocks are allocated to this file?
4	flags	File or directory? Plus, other simple flags
60	block	15 direct and indirect pointers to data blocks


Hard Link Example

- Multiple directory entries may point to the same inode



```
[bob@cs3650 ~] ln -T ../alice/my_file alice_file
```

1. Add an entry to the “bob” directory
2. Increase the link_count of the “my_file” inode




Hard Link Details

- Hard links give you the ability to create many **aliases** of the same underlying file
 - Can be in different directories
- Target file will not be marked invalid (deleted) until `link_count == 0`
 - This is why POSIX “delete” is called *unlink()*
- Disadvantage of hard links
 - Inodes are only unique within a single file system
 - Thus, can only point to files in the same partition

Soft Links


- **Soft links** are special files that include the path to another file
 - Also known as **symbolic links**
 - On Windows, known as **shortcuts**
 - File may be on another partition or device

Soft Link Example




```
[bob@cs3650 ~] ln -s ../alice/my_file alice_file
```

1. Create a soft link file
2. Add it to the current directory



ext: The Good and the Bad

- The Good – ext file system (inodes) support:
 - All the typical file/directory features
 - Hard and soft links
 - More performant (less seeking) than FAT
- The Bad: poor locality
 - ext is optimized for a particular file size distribution
 - However, it is not optimized for spinning disks
 - inodes and associated data are far apart on the disk!



Learning objectives

- ~~Partitions and Mounting~~
- ~~Basics (FAT)~~
- ~~inodes and Blocks (ext)~~
- Block Groups (ext2)
- Journaling (ext3)
- Extents and B-Trees (ext4)
- Log-based File Systems

Status Check


- At this point, we've moved from FAT to ext
 - inodes are imbalanced trees of data blocks
 - Optimized for the common case: small files
- Problem: ext has poor locality
 - inodes are far from their corresponding data
 - This is going to result in long seeks across the disk
- Problem: ext is prone to fragmentation
 - ext chooses the first available blocks for new data
 - No attempt is made to keep the blocks of a file contiguous

Fast File System (FFS)

- FFS developed at Berkeley in 1984
 - First attempt at a **disk aware** file system
 - i.e. optimized for performance on spinning disks
- Observation: processes tend to access files that are in the same (or close) directories
 - Spatial locality
- Key idea:
Place groups of directories and their files into **cylinder groups**
 - Introduced into ext2, called **block groups**


Block Groups

- In ext, there is a single set of key data structures
 - One data bitmap, one inode bitmap
 - One inode table, one array of data blocks
- In ext2, each block group contains its own key data structures



Allocation Policy

- ext2 attempts to keep related files and directories within the same block group



ext2: The Good and the Bad

- The good – ext2 supports:
 - All the features of ext...
 - ... with even better performance (because of increased spatial locality)
- The bad
 - Large files must cross block groups
 - As the file system becomes more complex, the chance of file system **corruption** grows
 - E.g. invalid inodes, incorrect directory entries, etc.

Learning objectives

- ~~Partitions and Mounting~~
- ~~Basics (FAT)~~
- ~~inodes and Blocks (ext)~~
- ~~Block Groups (ext2)~~
- Journaling (ext3)
- Extents and B-Trees (ext4)
- Log-based File Systems

Status Check

- At this point, we have a full featured file system
 - Directories
 - Fine-grained data allocation
 - Hard/soft links
- File system is optimized for spinning disks
 - inodes are optimized for small files
 - Block groups improve locality
- What's next?
 - Consistency and reliability


Maintaining Consistency


- Many operations results in multiple, independent writes to the file system
 - Example: append a block to an existing file
 1. Update the free data bitmap
 2. Update the inode
 3. Write the user data
- What happens if the computer crashes in the middle of this process?

File Append Example

owner: alice
permissions: rw
size: 2
pointer: 4
pointer: 5
pointer: null
pointer: null


- These three operations can potentially be done in any order
- ... but the system can crash at any time






Result: file system is consistent, but the data is lost

Write the data




Update the inode

Result: inode points to garbage data, and file system is inconsistent (data bitmap vs. inode)




Update the data bitmap


Result: space leakage, and file system is inconsistent (data bitmap vs. inode)



Result: inode points to data, but file system is inconsistent



Result: file system is inconsistent, and the data is useless since it's not associated with an inode



Result: file system is consistent, but the inode points to garbage data

The Crash Consistency Problem

- The disk guarantees that sector writes are atomic
 - No way to make multi-sector writes atomic
- How to ensure consistency after a crash?
 1. Don't bother to ensure consistency
 - Accept that the file system may be inconsistent after a crash
 - Run a program that fixes the file system during bootup
 - [File system checker \(*fsck*\)](#)
 1. Use a transaction log to make multi-writes atomic
 - Log stores a history of all writes to the disk
 - After a crash the log can be “replayed” to finish updates
 - [Journaling file system](#)

Approach 1: File System Checker

- Key idea: fix inconsistent file systems during bootup
 - Unix utility called *fsck* (*chkdsk* on Windows)
 - Scans the entire file system multiple times, identifying and correcting inconsistencies
- Why during bootup?
 - No other file system activity can be going on
 - After *fsck* runs, bootup/mounting can continue

fsck Tasks


- **Superblock:** validate the superblock, replace it with a backup if it is corrupted
- **Free blocks and inodes:** rebuild the bitmaps by scanning all inodes
- **Reachability:** make sure all inodes are reachable from the root of the file system
- **inodes:** delete all corrupted inodes, and rebuild their link counts by walking the directory tree
- **directories:** verify the integrity of all directories
- ... and many other minor consistency checks

fsck: the Good and the Bad

- Advantages of *fsck*
 - Doesn't require the file system to do any work to ensure consistency
 - Makes the file system implementation simpler
- Disadvantages of *fsck*
 - Very complicated to implement the *fsck* program
 - Many possible inconsistencies that must be identified
 - Many difficult corner cases to consider and handle
 - *fsck* is **super slow**
 - Scans the entire file system multiple times
 - Imagine how long it would take to *fsck* a 40 TB RAID array

Approach 2: Journaling

- Problem: *fsck* is slow because it checks the entire file system after a crash
 - What if we knew where the last writes were before the crash, and just checked those?
- Key idea: make writes transactional by using a **write-ahead log**
 - Commonly referred to as a **journal**
- Ext3 and NTFS use journaling



Write-Ahead Log

- Key idea: writes to disk are first written into a log
 - After the log is written, the writes execute normally
 - In essence, the log records transactions
- What happens after a crash...
 - If the writes to the log are interrupted?
 - The transaction is incomplete
 - The user's data is lost, but the file system is consistent
 - If the writes to the log succeed, but the normal writes are interrupted?
 - The file system may be inconsistent, but...
 - The log has exactly the right information to fix the problem

Data Journaling Example


- Assume we are appending to a file
 - Three writes: inode v2, data bitmap v2, data D_2
- Before executing these writes, first log them



1. Begin a new transaction with a unique $ID=k$
2. Write the updated meta-data block(s)
3. Write the file data block(s)
4. Write an end-of-transaction with $ID=k$

Commits and Checkpoints

- Transaction is **committed** after all writes to the log are complete
- After a transaction is committed, the OS **checkpoints** the update




- Final step: **free** the checkpointed transaction

Journal Implementation

- Journals are typically implemented as a circular buffer
 - Journal is **append-only**
- OS maintains pointers to the front and back of the transactions in the buffer
 - As transactions are freed, the back is moved up
- Thus, the contents of the journal are never deleted, they are just overwritten over time


Crash Recovery (1)

- What if the system crashes during logging?
 - If the transaction is not committed, data is lost
 - But, the file system remains consistent



Crash Recovery (2)

- What if the system crashes during the checkpoint?
 - File system may be inconsistent
 - During reboot, transactions that are committed but are not freed are replayed in order
 - Thus, no data is lost and consistency is restored



Corrupted Transactions

- Problem: the disk scheduler may not execute writes in-order
 - Transactions in the log may appear committed, when in fact they are invalid

Journal



- Solution: add a checksum to TxB
- During recovery, reject transactions with invalid checksums
- Implemented on Linux in ext4

- Transaction looks valid, but the data is missing!
- During replay, garbage data is written to the file system

Journaling: The Good and the Bad


- Advantages of journaling
 - Robust, fast file system recovery
 - No need to scan the entire journal or file system
 - Relatively straight forward to implement
- Disadvantages of journaling
 - Write traffic to the disk is doubled
 - Especially the file data, which is probably large
 - Deletes are very hard to correctly log
 - Example in a few slides...

Making Journaling Faster

- Journaling adds a lot of write overhead
- OSes typically batch updates to the journal
 - Buffer writes in memory, then issue one large write to the log
 - Example: ext3 batches updates for 5 seconds
- Tradeoff between performance and persistence
 - Long batch interval = fewer, larger writes to the log
 - Improved performance due to large sequential writes
 - But, if there is a crash, everything in the buffer will be lost


Meta-Data Journaling

- The most expensive part of journaling is writing the file data twice
 - Meta-data is small (~1 sector), file data is large
- ext3 implements meta-data journaling




Crash Recovery Redux (1)

- What if the system crashes during logging?
 - If the transaction is not committed, data is lost
 - D_2 will eventually be overwritten
 - The file system remains consistent




Crash Recovery Redux (2)

- What if the system crashes during the checkpoint?
 - File system may be inconsistent
 - During reboot, transactions that are committed but not free are replayed in order
 - Thus, no data is lost and consistency is restored




Delete and Block Reuse



1. Create a directory: inode and data are written
2. Delete the directory: inode is removed
3. Create a file: inode and data are written


The Trouble With Delete

- What happens when the log is replayed?



Handling Delete

- Strategy 1: don't reuse blocks until the delete is checkpointed and freed
- Strategy 2: add a **revoke** record to the log
 - ext3 used revoke records



Journaling Wrap-Up

- Today, most OSes use journaling file systems
 - ext3/ext4 on Linux
 - NTFS on Windows
- Provides excellent crash recovery with relatively low space and performance overhead

Learning objectives

- ~~Partitions and Mounting~~
- ~~Basics (FAT)~~
- ~~inodes and Blocks (ext)~~
- ~~Block Groups (ext2)~~
- ~~Journaling (ext3)~~
- Extents and B-Trees (ext4)
- Log-based File Systems

Status Check


- At this point:
 - We not only have a fast file system
 - But it is also resilient against corruption
- What's next?
 - More efficiency improvements!

Revisiting inodes

- Recall: inodes use indirection to acquire blocks of pointers
- Problem: inodes are not efficient for large files
 - Example: for a 100MB file, you need 25600 block pointers (assuming 4KB blocks)
- This is unavoidable if the file is 100% fragmented
 - However, what if large groups of blocks are contiguous?

From Pointers to Extents

- Modern file systems try hard to minimize fragmentation
 - Since it results in many seeks, thus low performance
- **Extents** are better suited for contiguous files



Implementing Extents

- ext4 and NTFS use extents
- ext4 inodes include 4 extents instead of block pointers
 - Each extent can address at most 128MB of contiguous space (assuming 4KB blocks)
 - If more extents are needed, a data block is allocated
 - Similar to a block of indirect pointers

Revisiting Directories

- In ext, ext2, and ext3, each directory is a file with a list of entries
 - Entries are not stored in sorted order
 - Some entries may be blank, if they have been deleted
- Problem: searching for files in large directories takes $O(n)$ time
 - Practically, you can't store >10K files in a directory
 - It takes way too long to locate and open files


From Lists to B-Trees

- ext4 and NTFS encode directories as **B-Trees**
 - Improves lookup time to $O(\log N)$
- A B-Tree is a type of balanced tree that is optimized for disks
 - Items are stored in sorted order in blocks
 - Each block stores between m and $2m$ items (where m is the branching factor of the tree)
- Suppose items i and j are in the root of the tree
 - The root must have 3 children, since it has 2 items
 - The three child groups contain items $a < i$, $i < a < j$, and $a > j$

Example B-Tree

- ext4 uses a B-Tree variant known as a H-Tree
 - The *H* stands for *hash* (sometime called B+Tree)
- Suppose you try to `open("my_file", "r")`

hash("my_file") = 0x0000C194



ext4: The Good and the Bad

- The good – ext4 (and NTFS) supports:
 - All of the basic file system functionality we require
 - Improved performance from ext3's block groups
 - Additional performance gains from extents and B-Tree directory files
- The bad:
 - ext4 is an incremental improvement over ext3
 - Next-gen file systems have even nicer features
 - Copy-on-write semantics (btrfs and ZFS)

Learning objectives

- ~~Partitions and Mounting~~
- ~~Basics (FAT)~~
- ~~inodes and Blocks (ext)~~
- ~~Block Groups (ext2)~~
- ~~Journaling (ext3)~~
- ~~Extents and B Trees (ext4)~~
- Log-based File Systems

Status Check

- At this point:
 - We have arrived at a modern file system like ext4
- What's next?
 - Go back to the drawing board and reevaluate from first-principals

Reevaluating Disk Performance


- How has computer hardware been evolving?
 - RAM has become cheaper and grown larger :)
 - Random access seek times have remained very slow :(
- This changing dynamic alters how disks are used
 - More data can be cached in RAM = less disk reads
 - Thus, writes will dominate disk I/O
- Can we create a file system that is optimized for sequential writes?

Log-structured File System

- Key idea: buffer all writes (including meta-data) in memory
 - Write these long segments to disk sequentially
 - Treat the disk as a circular buffer, i.e. don't overwrite
- Advantages:
 - All writes are large and sequential
- Big question:
 - How do you manage meta-data and data in this kind of design?


Treating the Disk as a Log

- Same concept as data journaling
 - Data and meta-data get appended to a log
 - Stale data isn't overwritten, its replaced



Buffering Writes

- LFS buffers writes in-memory into chunks




- Chunks get appended to the log once they are sufficiently large

How to Find inodes

- In a typical file system, the inodes are stored at fixed locations (relatively easy to find)
- How do you find inodes in the log?
 - Remember, there may be multiple copies of a given inode
- Solution: add a level of indirection
 - The traditional **inode map** can be broken into pieces
 - When a portion of the inode map is updated, write it to the log!


inode Maps



- New problem: the inode map is scattered throughout the log
 - How do we find the most up-to-date pieces?


The Checkpoint Region

- The superblock in LFS contains pointers to all of the up-to-date inode maps
 - The **checkpoint region** is always cached in memory
 - Written periodically to disk, say ~30 seconds
 - Only part of LFS that isn't maintained in the log




How to Read a File in LFS

- Suppose you want to read inode 1
 1. Look up inode 1 in the checkpoint region
 - inode map containing inode 1 is in sector X
 2. Read the inode map at sector X
 - inode 1 is in sector Y
 3. Read inode 1
 - File data is in sectors A, B, C, etc.




Directories in LFS

- Directories are stored just like in typical file systems
 - Directory data stored in a file
 - inode points to the directory file
 - Directory file contains name \rightarrow inode mappings




Garbage

- Over time, the log is going to fill up with stale data
 - Highly fragmented: live data mixed with stale data
- Periodically, the log must be garbage collected
- Disk regions are managed in a segment granularity



Garbage Collection in LFS

- Each cluster has a summary block
 - Contains the block \square inode mapping for each block in the cluster
- To check liveness, the GC reads each file with blocks in the cluster
 - If the current info doesn't match the summary, blocks are stale



An Idea Whose Time Has Come

- LFS seems like a very strange design
 - Totally unlike traditional file system structures
 - Doesn't map well to our ideas about directory hierarchies
- Initially, people did not like LFS
- However, today it's features are widely used

File Systems for SSDs

- SSD hardware constraints
 - Wear leveling: writes must be spread across the blocks of flash
 - Periodically, old blocks need to be garbage collected to prevent write-amplification
- Does this sounds familiar?
- LFS is the ideal file system for SSDs!
- Internally, SSDs manage all files in a LFS-like fashion
 - This is transparent to the OS and end-users
 - Ideal for wear-leveling and avoiding write-amplification

Copy-on-write

- Modern file systems incorporate ideas from LFS
- Copy-on-write semantics
 - Updated data is written to empty space on disk, rather than overwriting the original data
 - Helps prevent data corruption, improves sequential write performance
- Pioneered by LFS, now used in ZFS and btrfs

Versioning File Systems

- LFS keeps old copies of data by default
- Old versions of files may be useful!
 - Example: accidental file deletion
 - Example: accidentally doing *open(file, 'w')* on a file full of data
- Turn LFS flaw into a virtue
- Many modern file systems are **versioned**
 - Old copies of data are exposed to the user
 - The user may roll-back a file to recover old versions

Project 2 Hints

- Pre-submission
 - Use ungraded lab to find info needed to prepare kernel for NUFUSE software
 - See Piazza for Codespace-specific modification to instructions
 - `sudo apt-get install fuse`
- Design of your file system to meet the requirements
 - E.g., number of blocks & inodes; inode and block bitmaps
- NUFUSE
 - Has a hardcoded example that needs to be removed
 - If using codespaces, watch your limit
 - Consider using GitHub API to run ssh remotely

Step 2 Basic Design – Start small, incrementally add complexity, don't be afraid to refactor

- From description
 - Create at least 128 files with names at least 10 characters long
 - List the files in the filesystem root directory
 - Read/Write to small files (under 4k)
 - Rename files
 - Delete files
- A potential simple file system layout (no inode bitmap, 1-1 mapping)
 - 1MB = 256 pages (4k blocks)
 - page 0 = 256 inodes (each 8 bytes)
 - page 1 & inode 1 = root directory
 - the root directory is just an array of 128 char[16]'s
 - max filename length = 15
 - val='\0' means unused
 - To find any individual element, use offsets and cast

fs initialization - storage_init(argv[--argc]);

- Fill out storage_init(const char* path)
 - argv[--argc] is the path to the mount point
 - See block_init() in helpers/blocks.[ch]
 - Open or create file specified at path
`open(image_path, O_CREAT | O_RDWR, 0644);`
 - Make that file 1 MB in size
`ftruncate(blocks_fd, NUFS_SIZE);`
 - Map that file to memory using mmap
`mmap(0, NUFS_SIZE, PROT_READ | PROT_WRITE, MAP_SHARED, blocks_fd, 0);`
The pointer from mmap points to the beginning of the file system
Use it to move around the file system on disk
 - Set constants to values from your basic design
 - And you are on your way...